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The American College of Prosthodontists (ACP) has developed a classification system for partial edentulism based on diagnostic findings. This classification system is similar to the classification system for complete edentulism previously developed by the ACP. These guidelines are intended to help practitioners determine appropriate treatments for their patients. Four categories of partial edentulism are defined, Class I to Class IV, with Class I representing an uncomplicated clinical situation and class IV representing a complex clinical situation. Each class is differentiated by specific diagnostic criteria. This system is designed for use by dental professionals involved in the diagnosis and treatment of partially edentulous patients. Potential benefits of the system include (1) improved intraoperator consistency, (2) improved professional communication, (3) insurance reimbursement commensurate with complexity of care, (4) improved screening tool for dental school admission clinics, (5) standardized criteria for outcomes assessment and research, (6) enhanced diagnostic consistency, and (7) simplified aid in the decision to refer a patient.
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Partially edentulous patients exhibit a wide range of physical variations and health conditions. The absence of organized diagnostic criteria for partial edentulism has been a long-standing impediment to effective recognition of risk factors that may affect treatment outcomes. Although described thoroughly in the dental literature,1-0 the diverse nature of partial edentulism has not been organized in such a way to guide dental professionals in the treatment planning process. To address this problem, the American College of Prosthodontists (ACP) Subcommittee on Prosthodontic Classification was formed and charged with developing a classification system for partial edentulism consistent with the existing classification system for complete edentulism.2 A summary of the ACP edentulous classification system is given in Table 1.

The purpose of this classification system is to provide a framework for the organization of clinical observations. Clinical variables that establish different levels of partial edentulism are organized in a simplified, sequential progression designed to facilitate consistent and predictable treatment planning decisions. This framework is designed to indicate increasing levels of diagnostic and treatment complexity presented by patients with varying degrees of partial edentulism. This may suggest points at which referral to other specialists is appropriate. The framework is structured to support...
TABLE 1. ACP Classification System of Complete Edentulism

Class I
This class characterizes the stage of edentulism that is most apt to be successfully treated with complete dentures using conventional prosthodontic techniques. All 4 of the diagnostic criteria are favorable.
- Residual bone height of ≥21 mm measured at the least vertical height of the mandible on a panoramic radiograph.
- Residual ridge morphology resistant to horizontal and vertical movement of the denture base; type A maxilla.
- Location of muscle attachments conducive to denture base stability and retention; type A or B mandible.
- Class I maxillomandibular relationship.

Class II
This class is distinguished by the continued physical degradation of the denture-supporting anatomy. It is also characterized by the early onset of systemic disease interactions and by specific patient management and lifestyle considerations.
- Residual bone height of 16 to 20 mm measured at the least vertical height of the mandible on a panoramic radiograph.
- Residual ridge morphology resistant to horizontal and vertical movement of the denture base; type A or B maxilla.
- Location of muscle attachments with limited influence on denture base stability and retention; type A or B mandible.
- Class I maxillomandibular relationship.
- Minor modifiers, psychosocial considerations, mild systemic disease with oral manifestations.

Class III
This class is characterized by the need for surgical revision of supporting structures to allow for adequate prosthodontic function. Additional factors now play a significant role in treatment outcomes.
- Residual alveolar bone height of 11 to 15 mm measured at the least vertical height of the mandible on a panoramic radiograph.
- Residual ridge morphology with minimum influence to resist horizontal or vertical movement of the denture base; type C maxilla.
- Location of muscle attachments with moderate influence on denture base stability and retention; type C mandible.
- Class I, II, or III maxillomandibular relationship.
- Conditions requiring preprosthetic surgery.
  - Minor soft tissue procedures.
  - Minor hard tissue procedures including alveoloplasty.
  - Simple implant placement; no augmentation required.
  - Multiple extractions leading to complete edentulism for immediate denture placement.
  - Limited interarch space (18 to 20 mm).
  - Moderate psychosocial considerations and/or moderate oral manifestations of systemic diseases or conditions such as xerostomia.
  - TMD symptoms.
  - Large tongue (occludes interdental space) with or without hyperactivity.
  - Hyperactive gag reflex.

Class IV
This class represents the most debilitated edentulous condition. Surgical reconstruction is almost always indicated but cannot always be accomplished because of the patient's health, preferences, past dental history, and financial considerations. When surgical revision is not an option, prosthodontic techniques of a specialized nature must be used to achieve an adequate outcome.
- Residual vertical bone height of ≤10 mm measured at the least vertical height of the mandible on a panoramic radiograph.
- Class I, II, or III maxillomandibular relationships.
- Residual ridge offering no resistance to horizontal or vertical movement; type D maxilla.
- Muscle attachment location that can be expected to have significant influence on denture base stability and retention; type D or E mandible.
- Major conditions requiring preprosthetic surgery.
  - Complex implant placement, augmentation required.
  - Surgical correction of dentofacial deformities required.
  - Hard tissue augmentation required.
  - Major soft tissue revision required, that is, vestibular extensions with or without soft tissue grafting.
  - History of paresthesia or dysesthesia.
  - Insufficient interarch space necessitating surgical correction.
  - Acquired or congenital maxillofacial defects.
  - Severe oral manifestation of systemic disease or conditions such as sequelae from oncologic treatment.
  - Maxillomandibular ataxia (incoordination).
  - Hyperactivity of tongue possibly associated with a retracted tongue position and/or its associated morphology.
  - Hyperactive gag reflex managed with medication.
  - Refractory patient (a patient who presents with chronic complaints following appropriate therapy), who may continue to have difficulty achieving their treatment expectations despite the thoroughness or frequency of the treatments provided.
  - Psychosocial conditions warranting professional intervention.
diagnostically driven treatment plan options and will also be useful in an educational environment for triaging the patient upon entry into an institutional setting.

Partial edentulism is defined as the absence of some but not all of the natural teeth in a dental arch. In a partially edentulous patient, the loss and continuing degradation of the alveolar bone, adjacent teeth, and supporting structures influence the level of difficulty in achieving adequate prosthetic restoration. The quality of the supporting structures contributes to the overall condition and is considered in the diagnostic levels of the classification system.

Only the most significant diagnostic criteria have been identified. Selection of appropriate treatment will be developed subsequently in a Parameters of Care document. It is anticipated that both the edentulous and partially edentulous classification systems will be incorporated into existing electronic diagnostic and procedural databases (SNODENT, ICD, CPT, and CDT).

The classification system is intended to offer the following benefits:

1. Improved intraoperator consistency
2. Improved professional communication
3. Insurance reimbursement commensurate with complexity of care
4. An objective method for patient screening in dental education
5. Standardized criteria for outcomes assessment and research
6. Improved diagnostic consistency
7. A simplified, organized aid in the decision-making process relating to referral.

Review of the Diagnostic Criteria

This section describes four broad diagnostic categories relevant to classification of partially edentulous patients:

1. Location and extent of the edentulous area(s)
2. Condition of abutments
3. Occlusion
4. Residual ridge characteristics.

The criteria descriptions begin with the least complicated and progress to the most complicated. The diagnostic criteria are as follows.

Criteria 1: Location and Extent of the Edentulous Area(s)

A. Ideal or minimally compromised edentulous area
   The edentulous span is confined to a single arch and 1 of the following:
   ● Any anterior maxillary edentulous area that does not exceed 2 incisors
   ● Any anterior mandibular edentulous area that does not exceed 4 incisors
   ● Any posterior maxillary or mandibular edentulous area that does not exceed 2 premolars, or 1 premolar and 1 molar.

B. Moderately compromised edentulous area
   Edentulous areas in both arches and in 1 of the following:
   ● Any anterior maxillary edentulous area that does not exceed 2 incisors
   ● Any anterior mandibular edentulous area that does not exceed 4 incisors
   ● Any posterior maxillary or mandibular edentulous area that does not exceed 2 premolars, or 1 premolar and 1 molar
   ● A missing maxillary or mandibular canine.

C. Substantially compromised edentulous area
   ● Any posterior maxillary or mandibular edentulous area greater than 3 teeth or 2 molars
   ● Any edentulous areas including anterior and posterior areas of 3 or more teeth.
D. Severely compromised edentulous area
Any edentulous area or combination of edentulous areas requiring a high level of patient compliance.

Criteria 2: Abutment Conditions

A. Ideal or minimally compromised abutment conditions
No preprosthetic therapy is indicated.

B. Moderately compromised abutment condition
- Abutments in 1 or 2 sextants* have insufficient tooth structure to retain or support intracoronal or extracoronal restorations.
- Abutments in 1 or 2 sextants require localized adjunctive therapy (ie, periodontal, endodontic, or orthodontic procedures).

Figure 1. Class I patient. This patient is categorized in Class I due to an ideal or minimally compromised edentulous area, abutment condition, and occlusion. There is a single edentulous area in 1 sextant. The residual ridge is considered type A. (A) Frontal view, maximum intercuspation. (B) Right lateral view, maximum intercuspation. (C) Left lateral view, maximum intercuspation. (D) Occlusal view, maxillary arch. (E) Occlusal view, mandibular arch. (F) Frontal view, protrusive relationship.
C. Substantially compromised abutment condition
- Abutments in 3 sextants have insufficient tooth structure to retain or support intracoronal or extracoronal restorations.
- Abutments in 3 sextants require more substantial localized adjunctive therapy (ie, periodontal, endodontic, or orthodontic procedures).

D. Severely compromised abutment condition
- Abutments in 4 or more sextants have insufficient tooth structure to retain or support intracoronal or extracoronal restorations.
- Abutments in 4 or more sextants require extensive adjunctive therapy (ie, periodontal, endodontic, or orthodontic procedures).
- Abutments have guarded prognoses.

Criteria 3: Occlusion
A. Ideal or minimally compromised occlusal characteristics
- No preprosthetic therapy is required
- Class I molar and jaw relationships are seen.

B. Moderately compromised occlusal characteristics
- Occlusion requires localized adjunctive therapy (eg, enameloplasty on premature occlusal contacts).
- Class I molar and jaw relationships are seen.

C. Substantially compromised occlusal characteristics
- Entire occlusion must be reestablished, but without any change in the occlusal vertical dimension.
- Class II molar and jaw relationships are seen.
Figure 2. Class II patient. This patient is Class II because he has edentulous areas in 2 sextants in different arches. (A) Frontal view, maximum intercuspation. (B) Right lateral view, maximum intercuspation. (C) Left lateral view, maximum intercuspation. (D) Occlusal view, maxillary arch. (E) Occlusal view, mandibular arch. (F) Frontal view, protrusive relationship. (G) Right lateral view, right working movement. (H) Left lateral view, left working movement.
D. Severely compromised occlusal characteristics

- Entire occlusion must be reestablished, including changes in the occlusal vertical dimension.
- Class II division 2 and Class III molar and jaw relationships are seen.

Criteria 4: Residual Ridge Characteristics

The criteria published for the Classification System for Complete Edentulism are used to categorize any edentulous span present in the partially edentulous patient (see Table 1).

Classification System for Partial Edentulism

The 4 criteria and their subclassifications are organized into an overall classification system for partial edentulism.

Class I (Fig 1A–I)

This class is characterized by ideal or minimal compromise in the location and extent of edentulous area (which is confined to a single arch), abutment conditions, occlusal characteristics, and residual ridge conditions. All 4 of the diagnostic criteria are favorable.

1. The location and extent of the edentulous area are ideal or minimally compromised:

   - The edentulous area is confined to a single arch.
   - The edentulous area does not compromise the physiologic support of the abutments.
   - The edentulous area may include any anterior maxillary span that does not exceed 2 incisors, any anterior mandibular span that does not exceed 4 missing incisors, or any posterior span that does not exceed 2 premolars or 1 premolar and 1 molar.

   2. The abutment condition is ideal or minimally compromised, with no need for preprosthetic therapy.

   3. The occlusion is ideal or minimally compromised, with no need for preprosthetic therapy; maxillomandibular relationship: Class I molar and jaw relationships.

   4. Residual ridge morphology conforms to the Class I complete edentulism description.

Class II (Fig 2)

This class is characterized by moderately compromised location and extent of edentulous areas in both arches, abutment conditions requiring localized adjunctive therapy, occlusal characteristics requiring localized adjunctive therapy, and residual ridge conditions.

1. The location and extent of the edentulous area are moderately compromised:

   - Edentulous areas may exist in 1 or both arches.
Figure 3. Class III patient. This patient is Class III because the edentulous area(s) are located in both arches and multiple locations within each arch. The abutment condition is substantially compromised due to the need for extracoronal restorations. There are teeth that are extruded and malpositioned. The occlusion is substantially compromised because reestablishment of the occlusal scheme is required without a change in the occlusal vertical dimension. (A) Frontal view, maximum intercuspation. (B) Right lateral view, maximum intercuspation. (C) Left lateral view, maximum intercuspation. (D) Occlusal view, maxillary arch. (E) Occlusal view, mandibular arch. (F) Frontal view, protrusive relationship. (G) Right lateral view, right working movement. (H) Left lateral view, left working movement.

Classification System for Partial Edentulism – McGarry et al
The edentulous areas do not compromise the physiologic support of the abutments.

Edentulous areas may include any anterior maxillary span that does not exceed 2 incisors, any anterior mandibular span that does not exceed 4 incisors, any posterior span (maxillary or mandibular) that does not exceed 2 premolars, or 1 premolar and 1 molar or any missing canine (maxillary or mandibular).

2. Condition of the abutments is moderately compromised:
- Abutments in 1 or 2 sextants have insufficient tooth structure to retain or support intracoronal or extracoronal restorations.
- Abutments in 1 or 2 sextants require localized adjunctive therapy.

3. Occlusion is moderately compromised:
- Occlusal correction requires localized adjunctive therapy.
- Maxillomandibular relationship: Class I molar and jaw relationships.

4. Residual ridge morphology conforms to the Class II complete edentulism description.

Class III (Fig 3)
This class is characterized by substantially compromised location and extent of edentulous areas in both arches, abutment condition requiring substantial localized adjunctive therapy, occlusal characteristics requiring reestablishment of the entire occlusion without a change in the occlusal vertical dimension, and residual ridge condition.

1. The location and extent of the edentulous areas are substantially compromised:
- Edentulous areas may be present in 1 or both arches.
- Edentulous areas compromise the physiologic support of the abutments.
- Edentulous areas may include any posterior maxillary or mandibular edentulous area greater than 3 teeth or 2 molars, or anterior and posterior edentulous areas of 3 or more teeth.

2. The condition of the abutments is moderately compromised:
- Abutments in 3 sextants have insufficient tooth structure to retain or support intracoronal or extracoronal restorations.
- Abutments in 3 sextants require more substantial localized adjunctive therapy (ie, periodontal, endodontic or orthodontic procedures).
- Abutments have a fair prognosis.

3. Occlusion is substantially compromised:
- Requires reestablishment of the entire occlusal scheme without an accompanying change in the occlusal vertical dimension.
- Maxillomandibular relationship: Class II molar and jaw relationships.

4. Residual ridge morphology conforms to the Class III complete edentulism description.

Class IV (Fig 4)
This class is characterized by severely compromised location and extent of edentulous areas with
Figure 4. Class IV patient. Edentulous areas are found in both arches, and the physiologic abutment support is compromised. Abutment condition is severely compromised due to advanced attrition and failing restorations, necessitating extracoronal restorations and adjunctive therapy. The occlusion is severely compromised, necessitating reestablishment of occlusal vertical dimension and a proper occlusal scheme. (A) Frontal view, maximum intercuspation. (B) Right lateral view, maximum intercuspation. (C) Left lateral view, maximum intercuspation. (D) Occlusal view, maxillary arch. (E) Occlusal view, mandibular arch. (F) Frontal view, protrusive relationship. (G) Right lateral view, right working movement. (H) Left lateral view, left working movement.
guarded prognosis, abutments requiring extensive therapy, occlusion characteristics requiring reestablishment of the occlusion with a change in the occlusal vertical dimension, and residual ridge conditions.

1. The location and extent of the edentulous areas results in severe occlusal compromise:
   - Edentulous areas may be extensive and may occur in both arches.
   - Edentulous areas compromise the physiologic support of the abutment teeth to create a guarded prognosis.
   - Edentulous areas include acquired or congenital maxillofacial defects.
   - At least 1 edentulous area has a guarded prognosis.

2. Abutments are severely compromised:
   - Abutments in 4 or more sextants have insufficient tooth structure to retain or support intracoronal or extracoronal restorations.
   - Abutments in 4 or more sextants require extensive localized adjunctive therapy.
   - Abutments have a guarded prognosis.

3. Occlusion is severely compromised:
   - Reestablishment of the entire occlusal scheme, including changes in the occlusal vertical dimension, is necessary.
   - Maxillomandibular relationship: class II division 2 or Class III molar and jaw relationships.

4. Residual ridge morphology conforms to the class IV complete edentulism description.

Other characteristics include severe manifestations of local or systemic disease, including sequelae from oncologic treatment, maxillomandibular dyskinesia and/or ataxia, and refractory patient (a patient who presents with chronic complaints following appropriate therapy).

**Guidelines for the Use of Classification System for Partial Edentulism**

The analysis of diagnostic factors is facilitated with the use of a worksheet (Table 2). Each criterion is evaluated and a checkmark placed in the appropriate box. In those instances in which a patient’s diagnostic criteria overlap 2 or more classes, the patient is placed in the more complex class.

The following additional guidelines should be followed to ensure consistent application of the classification system:

1. Consideration of future treatment procedures must not influence the choice of diagnostic level.
2. Initial preprosthetic treatment and/or adjunctive therapy can change the initial classification level. Classification may need to be reassessed after existing prostheses are removed.
3. Esthetic concerns or challenges raise the classification by 1 level in Class I and II patients.
4. The presence of TMD symptoms raises the classification by 1 or more levels in Class I and II patients.

5. In a patient presenting with an edentulous maxilla opposing a partially edentulous mandible, each arch is diagnosed according to the appropriate classification system; that is, the maxilla is classified according to the complete edentulism classification system, and the mandible is classified according to the partial edentulism classification system. The sole exception to this rule occurs when the patient presents with an edentulous mandible opposed by a partially edentulous or dentate maxilla. This clinical situation presents significant complexity and potential long-term morbidity and as such, should be diagnosed as a Class IV in either system.

6. Periodontal health is intimately related to the diagnosis and prognosis for partially edentulous patients. For the purpose of this system, it is assumed that patients will receive therapy to achieve and maintain periodontal health so that appropriate prosthodontic care can be accomplished.

Closing Statement

The classification system for partial edentulism is based on the most objective criteria available to facilitate uniform use of the system. Such standardization may lead to improved communications among dental professionals and third parties. This classification system will serve to identify those patients most likely to require treatment by a specialist or by a practitioner with additional training and experience in advanced techniques. This system should also be valuable to research protocols as different treatment procedures are evaluated. With the increasing complexity of patient treatment, this partial edentulism classification system, coupled with the complete edentulism classification system, will help dental school faculty assess entering pa-

TABLE 2. Worksheet Used to Determine Classification

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Condition</th>
<th>Class I</th>
<th>Class II</th>
<th>Class III</th>
<th>Class IV</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Location &amp; Extent of Edentulous Areas</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ideal or minimally compromised—single arch</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Moderately compromised—both arches</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Substantially compromised—&gt;3 teeth</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Severely compromised—guarded prognosis</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Congenital or acquired maxillofacial defect</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Abutment Condition</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ideal or minimally compromised</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Moderately compromised—1-2 sextants</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Substantially compromised—3 sextants</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Severely compromised—4 or more sextants</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Occlusion</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ideal or minimally compromised</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Moderately compromised—local adjunctive tx</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Substantially compromised—occlusal scheme</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Severely compromised—change in OVD</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Residual Ridge</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Class I Edentulous</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Class II Edentulous</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Class III Edentulous</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Class IV Edentulous</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Conditions Creating a Guarded Prognosis</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Severe oral manifestations of systemic disease</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maxillomandibular dyskinesia and/or ataxia</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Refractory patient</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

NOTE. Individual diagnostic criteria are evaluated and the appropriate box is checked. The most advanced finding determines the final classification.

Guidelines for use of the worksheet

1. Any single criterion of a more complex class places the patient into the more complex class.
2. Consideration of future treatment procedures must not influence the diagnostic level.
3. Initial preprosthetic treatment and/or adjunctive therapy can change the initial classification level.
4. If there is an esthetic concern/challenge, the classification is increased in complexity by one level in Class I and II patients.
5. In the presence of TMD symptoms, the classification is increased in complexity by one or more levels in Class I and II patients.
6. In the situation where the patient presents with an edentulous mandible opposing a partially edentulous or dentate maxilla, classification IV.
tients for the most appropriate patient assignment for better care. Based on use and observations by practitioners, educators, and researchers, this system will be modified as needed.
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